## **Abuse-Deterrent Opioids – Evidence Evaluation & Labeling**

Medication: Arymo ER®
Evaluation Date: $05/18/17$ Evaluation History: $\boxtimes$ Initial Version 1.0, or $\square$ Version
Current Product Labeling established: $\square$ Prior to or $\boxtimes$ After publication of FDA Guidance to Industry Document (4/201)
This is a: (Check all that apply)  ☑ New product □ Existing product, new formulation □ Existing product with new/updated labeling □ Other:
Product Abuse Deterrent Property Classification: – Check all that apply  ☑ Physical / Chemical barrier
<ul> <li>□ Agonist / Antagonist combination</li> <li>□ Aversion</li> <li>□ Delivery System</li> <li>□ New Molecular entity or Prodrug</li> <li>□ Combination (check combined items)</li> </ul>
□ Novel Approach
Product Labeling:
Does the product have FDA abuse deterrent labeling? $\boxtimes$ Yes or $\square$ No Year obtained: 2017
<b>Abuse Deterrent Evidence provided.</b> Summary of in-depth literature review and product evaluation based on FDA Guidance to Industry Document
☑ Laboratory-based in vitro manipulation and extraction studies (Category 1)  Description of Research: In vitro manipulation and extraction data indicates tablets resist manipulatio using most household tools, particle size reduction is difficult, a viscous gel is formed when subjected liquid, the gel that is formed generally resists passage through a needle, extraction in large volumes of liquid takes extended periods of time, free-base morphine cannot readily be extracted and smoking is not a viable method of abuse.
$oxtimes$ Pharmacokinetic Studies (Category 2)  Description of Research: Pharmacokinetic studies reveal that manipulation of Arymo ER® results in a shorter time to peak concentration ( $T_{max}$ ) by a mean of approximately 1.1 hours, the mean exposure (AUC) to morphine is slightly lower with manipulated compared to intact tablets, and the peak plasma concentration ( $C_{max}$ ) is slightly increased with manipulated tablets compared to intact (19.0 [9.6] ng/r versus 17.2 [4.3] ng/mL, respectively).
⊠ Clinical Abuse potential studies (Category 3)  Description of Research: Oral clinical abuse potential study assessed peak effect for drug liking on VAS of manipulated Arymo ER® compared to morphine ER tablet (generic MS Contin®) as the primary endpoint. Peak drug liking was significantly lower for manipulated Arymo ER® compared to manipulated morphine ER (P=0.007).
⊠ Clinical Abuse potential studies (Category 3)  Description of Research: Intranasal clinical abuse potential study assessed peak effect for drug liking of VAS of manipulated high volume and low volume Arymo ER® intranasally and intact Arymo ER® orally compared to morphine ER tablet (generic MS Contin®) intranasally. Peak drug liking for manipulated high and low volume Arymo ER® intranasally and intact Arymo ER® was significantly lower compared manipulated morphine ER intranasally (P<0.0001 for all comparisons).

	tudies / Post Market data which assessed the impact of abuse-deterrent formulation (Category 4)
□ Pos	t market  ☐ Formal studies included recommended study design features (see page 19 FDA Guidance
	document) Description of Research:
	☐ Determination if use of product results in meaningful reductions in abuse, misuse, and related adverse clinical outcomes, including addiction, overdose, and death Description of Research:
	easures and Data Interpretation in Abuse Potential Studies and Instruments  I Visual Analogue Scales (VAS)
	Description of Research: <u>Drug liking, take drug again, Drug Effects Questionnaire, ease of snorting</u>
	☐ Profile of Mood States  Description of Research:
o Da	ata Interpretation
	☑ Primary Analysis Description of Research: <u>Comparison of median peak drug liking VAS scores (both studies)</u>
	⊠ Statistical Analysis  Description of Research: Provided descriptive statistics (both studies); both studies followed  FDA guidance to industry on statistical analysis for abuse-deterrence studies based upon comparison of median drug liking VAS (acceptable per FDA when nonparametric method necessary); analyzed using a linear mixed-effects model with fixed effects for sequence, period, and treatment, and random effect for participant nested in sequence (both studies)
	□ Data and dropout for non-completers     □ Description of Research: Data regarding dropout and non-completers accounted for (both studies)
☐ None of the	above
Strength of Ev	idence of Abuse Deterrent Properties:
<u>cla</u> re ov	Evidence is based on physical/chemical property, theoretical assumptions or manufacturer's aims and is not yet supported by scientifically sound outcome data which demonstrates a duction in the abuse of the product in the community setting compared to levels of abuse, erdose, and death that occurred when only formulations of the same opioid without abuse-terrent properties were available (Category III)
<u>ma</u> de of	Evidence is based on physical/chemical property, clinical abuse potential studies or laboratory anipulation studies and is not yet supported by scientifically sound outcome data which monstrates a reduction in the abuse of the product in the community setting compared to levels abuse, overdose, and death that occurred when only formulations of the same opioid without-use-deterrent properties were available (Category II)
<u>re</u> ov	There is evidence, supported by scientifically sound outcome data, which demonstrates a duction in the abuse of the product in the community setting compared to levels of abuse, erdose, and death that occurred when only formulations of the same opioid without abuse-terrent properties were available (Category I)